

Power Looms in the Textile Technology Institute.

362. *MR. KANNANTHODATH JANARDANAN NAIR (*Karunagapally cum Kartikapally*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

- (a) whether they got down a few power looms for use in the Textile Technology Institute in 1115;
- (b) whether those looms are in use now;
- (c) if not, why it is not so; and
- (d) the cost of those looms?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN: (a) No.

(b), (c) and (d). Do not arise in view of answer to part (a).

Thodiyoor Vattakayal and the Anayadi Thodu in Karunagapally taluk.

363. *MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Chief Engineer, accompanied by the Executive Engineer, Quilon, has inspected the Thodiyoor Vattakayal and the Anayadi Thodu in Karunagapally taluk;

(b) the purpose for which the inspection was conducted;

(c) the steps taken after inspection for preventing the repeated flood damages to the Vattakayal before harvesting the paddy cultivation;

(d) whether the existing sluice would serve the purpose effectively; and

(e) whether any estimate has been prepared by the Executive Engineer for diverting the flood waters and deepening the position of the Anayadi Thodu between Thodiyoor Bridge and the Kuravan Kadavu?

MR. P. J. THOMAS: (a) Yes.

(b) To inspect irrigation facilities of Vattakayal provided by the construction of the lock gate and also in connection with petitions from people for irrigation facilities to Vallikunnam, Thazhava &c.

(c) The drainage thodu from Vattakayal has been mostly improved as per sanctioned estimate except for certain works to be carried out this year.

(d) Yes, after repairs to the earthen abutments.

(e) An estimate for Rs. 21,330 has been prepared and is being scrutinised in the Division Office.

Arattuvazhi Road.

364. *MR. N. NARAYANA KURUP: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Arattuvazhi road in South Aryad, Ambalapuzha taluk, has been sanctioned;

(b) whether any funds have been provided in the sanctioned budget for the current year;

(c) whether the people have surrendered the required lands except a small plot in one survey number;

(d) whether the surrendered lands have been taken possession of by the authorities;

[Mr. N. Narayana Kurup.]

(e) whether proceedings for the acquisition of the small plot has been commenced; and

(f) if not, why it is delayed?

MR. P. J. THOMAS: (a) The estimate is under consideration.

(b) Yes Rs. 1300—Vide item 109, Part II, current year's budget.

(c) Yes.

(d) No.

(e) No.

(f) It is being ascertained whether the interested persons are willing to deposit the amount required to compensate the recalcitrant land owner.

Representation re: the Sanmargaposhini Vela Samajam, Poonjar.

365. *MR. KAVIYOOR K. K. KOCHUKUNJU: Will the Government be pleased to state whether the Protector of Backward Communities has received complaints from the Varnava community or from the workers of the Varnava Samajam praying for prohibiting the members of the Sanmargaposhini Vela Samajam, Poonjar, from their propaganda of inducing the members of the Varnava Samajam to join the Vela Samajam by falsely representing to the members of the community that they receive special favours from the Department, for the Protection of Backward Communities and that therefore it is not advisable to remain in the Varnava community and from their attempt to dissolve the Varnava Samajam which is a company registered and conducted according to the Travancore Companies Act?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN: Yes.

Aluminium Factory at Alwaye.

366. *MR. KANNANTHODATHI JANARDANAN NAIR: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a proposal to open an Aluminium Factory at Alwaye; and

(b) if so, when will it be established?

RAJYASEVAPRAVINA M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR: (a) Yes.

(b) It is understood that the factory will commence operation early in 1942.

Resolutions of communal organisations.

367. *MR. KAVIYOOR K. K. KOCHUKUNJU: Will the Government be pleased to state whether they have accepted the resolutions passed at the joint meetings of the backward communities requesting that so far as the backward communities are concerned, preference should be given to the opinions and resolutions of the respective communal organisations when Government consider the question of representation in public institutions in accordance with the principle of communal representation?